

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,656	11/28/2006	Rudi Mueller-Walz	28069-625N01US	2553
35437 MINTZ I EVI	7590 04/28/201 N COHN FERRIS GLO	EXAM	EXAMINER	
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER			KENNEDY, NICOLETTA	
BOSTON, MA	A 02111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1611	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/575,656		MUELLER-WALZ ET AL.	
		Art Unit	
SHARMILA G. LANDAU		1611	

This is in response to the	Pre-Anneal Brief	Request for Review	filed 22 March	2011

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brie	of Request for Review filed 22 March 2011.
 Improper Request – The Requeston(s): 	lest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include	been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. reasons why a review is appropriate. sluded with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from unication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under a is required to submit an appeal brief in brief will be reset to be one month fro running from the receipt of the notice	ppeals and Interferences — A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant a accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal m mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the FR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☐ The panel has determined the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-7, 12-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from conside	ne status of the claim(s) is as follows:
	ference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of non the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	erence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office in is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) SHARMILA G. LANDAU.	(3) Nicoletta Kennedy.
(2) Gerry Leffers.	(4)
/SHARMILA G. LANDAU/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Part of Paper No. 20110427